

1 even though in fact it will continue to be actually farmed  
2 and devoted to bona fide agricultural uses until the  
3 developer actually, five, ten or 25 years later, devotes  
4 it to subdivision purposes?

5           Couldn't the State Department of Taxation  
6 provide such a rule?

7           THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Case.

8           DELEGATE CASE: Judge, would you mind restating  
9 that question? (Laughter.)

10          THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Sybert.

11          DELEGATE SYBERT: Let me ask first whether  
12 the witness retains the factual situation which predicated  
13 this.

14          DELEGATE CASE: I can't remember <sup>whether</sup> ~~whether~~ that fellow  
15 was there for 25 or 50 years. That is the part that got  
16 me stumped.

17          THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Sybert.

18          DELEGATE SYBERT: I submit, Mr. Chairman, that  
19 doesn't make any difference, and that is my point. If the  
20 developer sure enough buys the property in order sometime  
21 in the future to develop it so that he can stay in business.